### Planning Committee – Update Sheet

Application Ref.	Address	Agenda ref.	Page no.
WP/19/00480/OUT	Marsh Road Garage, Marsh Road, Weymouth, DT4 8JD	5a	23 - 46

#### Summary of Email received from agent:

- -The applicant has worked with the planning officer to produce a scheme and provide supporting evidence which is now acceptable.
- The Town Council's holding objection has been overcome.
- No highways objection and no other significant constraints on the site.
- The LPA cannot prove a 5 year housing supply and site is within settlement boundary.
- Outline application but indicative plans show what could be achieved on the site.
- All planning guidance points to higher density development in this close proximity to the town centre.
- It will remove commercial buildings that fail to add to the character of the area.
- No issues with overlooking or loss of privacy.
- The applicant agrees with the suggested conditions of your officer.

WD/D/20/000597	Land West of, 5 Chapel Lane,	Item 5b	47 - 60
	Maiden Newton		

#### Condition 3:

No development above Damp Proof Course (DPC) level shall be commenced until details and samples of all external facing materials for the walls and roofs shall have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority the development shall proceed in strict accordance with such materials as have been agreed approved.

REASON: To ensure a satisfactory visual appearance of the development.

#### Condition 6:

Before the development hereby approved is occupied or utilised the turning and parking shown on the submitted plan, <u>drawing number 9294/100 F</u> must have been constructed. Thereafter, these areas, must be permanently maintained, kept free from obstruction and available for the purposes specified.

REASON: To ensure the proper and appropriate development of the site and to ensure that highway safety is not adversely impacted upon.

#### **Condition 8:**

No development shall take place until a detailed surface water management scheme for the site, based upon the hydrological and hydrogeological context of the development, and including clarification of how surface water is to be managed during construction and a timetable for the implementation of the scheme, has been submitted to, and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The surface water scheme shall be fully implemented in accordance with the submitted approved details and timetable for implementation.

REASON: To prevent the increased risk of flooding.			
Enforcement Report	Homestead Farm Bothenhampton - WD/D/19/003186	Item 6	91 - 168

# Update(s):

## Email received from applicants agent dated 30th Sept stating:

As discussed, we are endeavouring to shut the site down as soon as possible. We have encountered some delays, primarily getting the approved foul and stormwater drainage connected to the mains and obtaining the sign-off from Wessex Water for them. This has now been completed.

The site is now nearly ready for shutdown with the main outstanding item being the lime render to the farmhouse and the scaffolding required to carry this out. Fitting lime render is weather dependent, so we hope that this and the taking down of the scaffolding will be completed at the latest by early week commencing the 5th October 2020 though we hope it will be sooner. Also, a resident of Duck Street requested that the Duck Street road sign be re-fitted prior to shut down. To enable that, we had to rebuild 1.5m of the demolished boundary stone wall, so that the sign could be fitted and we could put the security fence behind it.

The architect will be meeting on Wednesday 7th October so that the contractor can handover the keys and site to Mr & Mrs Hughes and the site will then be formally shut down. Should this date slip, we will inform you immediately with a revised site shut down date and the reason why it has slipped. The only access to the site after that will be for landscape maintenance, basic building maintenance, collecting any post and site security subject to the pending appeal.

## Email received from applicants agent dated 7th Oct stating:

I am writing to confirm that the keys have been handed back to Mr and Mrs Hughes and the site has been formally shutdown. Access, however, will be required from time to time to manage the house and garden.

As discussed, the site will be made available to residents to park on site, while the highway authority carry out repairs to the High Pavement.

I would be grateful if you would pass these comments on to Committee.

**Corrections to Report:** 

WP/20/00417/TEL	Telecommunications Mast Site, Weymouth Way, Radipole, Weymouth	Item 7	169 - 188

Section 9.4 of published report incorrectly indicates that no response had been received from the Dorset Council's Highways Liaison Engineer. This should have indicated:

"The Highway Authority considers that the proposals do not present a material harm to the transport network or to highway safety and consequently has no objection."

### **Further Representations and Written Statements:**

Two written statements opposing the recommendation and 1 written statement supporting the recommendation have been received pursuant to the public participation protocol. In addition, two further representations objecting to the application have been received, the first indicating that the solid facts presented in representations to the application should be cause to think twice before embarking on a course of action which all evidence shows will damage, possibly irredeemably, the environment and physical well-being of all inhabitants of Weymouth, not only those who live and work in nearby vicinity, but also all those who pass through area. The representation further implores the Committee to invoke the precautionary principle and states that:

".... many of us who are convinced by the mountains of evidence available are experiencing great mental anguish, even terror, at the prospect of being bathed 24/7 in waves of destructive, life threatening forces. We cannot understand why you would wish to subject yourselves, your families and your friends to this when you have the power at the moment to save them.

Instead, I would implore you to join the ever increasing numbers of nations, cities and towns across the world who have put the brakes on this headlong drive into disaster. Surely enough doubt must have been raised in your minds to be unable to put your hands on your hearts and say you KNOW this is harmless?"

The second representation restates points submitted in an earlier representation relating primarily to potential health effects and the state of scientific knowledge on 5G exposure. The respondent asks that the precautionary principle be invoked and that the application be refused.

No Change to Recommendation.